

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

17 December 2018

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Simms, A.R. and Lisiecki, L. and Gebbie, G. and Whitehouse, P.L. and Clark, J.F. (2019) 'Balancing the last glacial maximum (LGM) sea-level budget.', *Quaternary science reviews.*, 205 . pp. 143-153.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.12.018>

Publisher's copyright statement:

© 2019 This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

1 **Balancing the LGM sea-level budget**

2

3 **Alexander R. Simms¹, Lorraine Lisiecki¹, Geoffrey Gebbie², Pippa L. Whitehouse³, Jordan**
4 **F. Clark¹**

5 ¹Department of Earth Science

6 University of California Santa Barbara

7 1006 Webb Hall

8 Santa Barbara, CA, USA 93106

9

10 ²Department of Physical Oceanography

11 Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

12 Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA 02543

13

14 ²Department of Physical Geography

15 Durham University

16 South Road, Durham, UK

17 DH1 3LE

18

19 Corresponding author: Alexander R. Simms (asimms@geol.ucsb.edu)

Abstract

Estimates of post-Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) sea-level rise are not balanced by the estimated amount of ice melted since the LGM. We quantify this “missing ice” by reviewing the possible contributions from each of the major ice sheets. This “missing ice” amounts to 18.1 ± 9.6 m of global sea-level rise. Ocean expansion accounts for 2.4 ± 0.3 m of this discrepancy while groundwater could contribute a maximum of another 1.4 m to this offset. After accounting for these two potential contributors to the sea-level budget, the shortfall of 15.6 ± 9.7 m suggests that either a large reservoir of water (e.g. a missing LGM ice sheet) has yet to be discovered or current estimates of one or more of the known LGM ice sheets are too small. Included within this latter possibility are potential inadequacies of current models of glacial isostatic adjustment.

Key words: Eustatic; Sea Level; Antarctica; Pleistocene; Lowstand

1. Introduction

Constraining the amount of sea-level rise since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) is important for monitoring current ice sheets (Shepherd et al., 2012), understanding early human migrations (Lambeck et al., 2011), and calibrating models (Peltier, 1994; Kageyama et al., 2006) and geochemical proxies (Mix, 1987). Two approaches are generally used to reconstruct sea levels during the LGM. Early attempts used a direct approach, which dated ancient shoreline features or sea-level “index” points (Fairbanks, 1989; Yokoyama et al., 2000) in areas thought to be far enough away from the past ice sheets as to represent the global “average” sea level, which in turn is representative of the total ocean volume change (i.e., ice-equivalent sea-level change)(Fairbanks, 1989). This approach has since been improved by accounting for glacial

isostatic adjustment (GIA), which is the deformation of the Earth’s surface and gravitational field (hence equipotential) due to the redistribution of ocean, ice, and mantle material during the growth and decay of ice sheets. GIA can be important even at sites far away from the LGM ice sheets (Peltier, 1994; Lambeck and Chappell, 2001; Austermann et al., 2013). The second approach is to reconstruct the configuration of the LGM ice sheets and sum the volume of water stored above flotation at the LGM (Denton and Hughes, 1981; Clark and Tarasov, 2014). However, these two approaches are not necessarily independent of one another as the second approach is used to determine the GIA component of sea-level change and hence improve sea-level estimates derived from the first approach (Lambeck and Chappell, 2001).

Direct measurements of the elevation of sea level at the LGM are based largely on estimates from Barbados (Fairbanks, 1989; Austermann et al., 2013), the Sunda Shelf (Hanebuth et al., 2000), and the Bonaparte Gulf (Yokoyama et al., 2000). When corrected for GIA (Lambeck et al., 2014; Nakada et al., 2016), including the impacts of 3-dimensional heterogeneity within the mantle (Austermann et al., 2013), these records [typically] imply a LGM lowstand between 130 m and 134 m. In contrast, although the amount of ice within each individual ice sheet at the LGM is still a matter of debate, the various estimates of the ice-equivalent sea-level change locked up in the LGM ice sheets sum to considerably less than 130 m (Clark and Tarasov, 2014) (Table 1; Figs. 1 and 2). The first global compilation (Denton and Hughes, 1981) of ice sheets at the LGM suggested they account for between 127 and 163 m of ice-equivalent sea-level change, but as field mapping and dating methods have improved over the years, particularly within Antarctica, those estimates have generally decreased (Table 1).

This problem has led several authors to argue for a hypothetical “missing ice sheet” potentially over the present-day East Siberian margin (Clark and Tarasov, 2014). However,

before searching for a yet undiscovered ice mass, it is important to review the other contributors to global sea-level rise; namely, the contributions to sea-level change caused by global ocean density changes accompanying ocean warming and freshening since the LGM and potential groundwater storage. The purpose of this paper is to 1.) quantify the amount of missing ice, 2.) discuss the possible role of ocean warming in the sea-level budget at the LGM in context with an accompanying paper (Gebbie et al., submitted), 3.) provide an estimate for the maximum contribution of groundwater to the LGM sea-level budget, and 4.) discuss future directions for addressing the “missing ice” problem.

2. Quantifying LGM ice volumes

2.1 Current estimates of LGM ice volumes

Most early studies that sought to balance the LGM sea-level budget assumed that the volume of ice leftover after accounting for the ice held within the North American, Greenland, and Eurasian Ice Sheets should be attributed to the Antarctic Ice Sheet (Nakada and Lambeck, 1988; Peltier, 1994; Nakada et al., 2000). However, based on the relatively modest (<32 m) elevation of raised beaches across Antarctica, Colhoun et al. (1992) suggested only minimal expansion of the Antarctic Ice Sheet across the continental shelf at the LGM, sufficient to explain only 0.5-2.5 m of the LGM lowstand. This led Andrews (1992) to pose the question “where is the missing water?”

Offshore studies have subsequently documented significant ice sheet expansion out to the continental shelf edge over many parts of Antarctica (Anderson et al, 2002; 2013; The RAISED Consortium, et al., 2014), but the LGM volume of this ice sheet is still poorly constrained. Based

on GIA model predictions of near-field relative sea-level change, Bassett et al. (2007) inferred a post-LGM sea-level contribution of 27.15 m from Antarctica; sufficient to close the global sea-level budget (Table 1). However, GIA modeling studies that additionally seek to honor glacial geological constraints on past Antarctic ice thickness yield smaller estimates of 7.5-13.6 m (Ivins and James, 2005; Whitehouse et al., 2012b; Ivins et al., 2013; Argus et al., 2014)(Table 1). Several recent studies use numerical modeling techniques to estimate the volume of the LGM ice sheet (Whitehouse et al., 2012a; Golledge et al., 2013; Gomez et al., 2013; Maris et al., 2014; Briggs et al., 2014), and these typically also yield relatively low values (Table 1).

Based on studies published since 2010, the average post-LGM sea-level contribution from Antarctica is estimated to be 9.9 ± 1.7 m (one standard deviation; Table 1). Estimates of the total ice-equivalent sea-level rise held within the other large ice sheets at the LGM have remained relatively steady over the past ~20 years, with 76.0 ± 6.7 m and 18.4 ± 4.9 m post-LGM sea-level rise predicted to have been sourced from North America and Fennoscandia, respectively (Table 1). The exception is the estimate by Simon et al. (2016), which suggests a much smaller LGM North American Ice Sheet complex (Table 1). Excluding Simon et al. (2016), the average sea-level contribution from the North American Ice Sheet complex is 79.3 m instead of 76.0 m. Estimates of Greenland's contribution have increased but it remains a minor component of the sea-level budget at the LGM (Table 1). All other ice masses are thought to have contributed no more than 5.5 ± 0.5 m sea-level rise since the LGM (Denton and Hughes, 1981; Peltier et al., 2015).

2.2. Ice volume to sea-level rise conversions

The compiled studies of meltwater volume differ in the methods used to convert from ice volume to ice-equivalent sea-level rise. With the exceptions of the studies by Ivins and James

(2005) and Lambeck et al. (2014), the conversions used ranged between 2.466 and 2.519 m/10⁶ km³ of ice, which would cause variations in ice-equivalent sea-level rise of less than 2.8 m assuming a post-LGM change in ice volume of 52 x 10⁶ km³ (Table 2).

However, the conversion by Lambeck et al. (2014) results in 5.8 m more sea-level rise than that of Hughes et al. (2016) at the LGM. This discrepancy in converting ice to sea level partly arises from the assumed shape and area of the ocean since the LGM. Some studies (e.g. Denton and Hughes, 1981; Hughes et al., 2016) use an ocean area equivalent to the modern ocean area while others account for the changing shape of the ocean as it floods the continental shelf through the deglaciation (e.g. Lambeck et al., 2014). This difference in approaches is nontrivial as the difference between using a modern ocean area (3.619 x 10⁸ km²; Eakins and Sharman, 2010) versus an LGM ocean area (~3.385 x 10⁸ km² using ICE-5G with the VM2 earth model) is 9 m of ice-equivalent sea-level rise for the same volume of ice (assuming an ice-ocean density ratio of 0.89 and an ice volume of 52 x 10⁶ km³). Determining an appropriate ocean shape and area to use is not a trivial problem (Peltier, 1994; Milne et al., 2002; Mitrovica, 2003; Gomez et al., 2013). One of the complications in determining the shape and area of the ocean is the influence of Earth deformation due to changes in ice and water loading (Milne et al., 1999). Further complications arise when considering the influence of marine-based ice on LGM ocean areas (Milne et al., 1999).

Another source of discrepancy among conversions may arise from different assumptions about which portions of ice sheets contributed to the rise in sea levels. Not all additional ice (e.g. marine-grounded ice below flotation) contributes to sea-level rise (Milne et al., 1999). Thus, some ice should not be included in the equivalent sea-level rise term and may bias the average conversion calculated using the volume of additional ice at the LGM, thus making a uniform

conversion from additional ice to an equivalent sea-level rise inappropriate. As not all studies stated what conversions were used and some conversions are based on quoted volumes of additional LGM ice that include both floating and grounded ice, we have not accounted for this discrepancy in our analysis but note an additional offset of up to ~5 m (but likely closer to 2 m) may be due to differences in the ice to seawater conversion.

2.3 Shortfall in the LGM ice sheet volumes

We estimate the amount of “missing ice” at the LGM by averaging the contributions of each ice sheet to the total meltwater budget from only those studies published since 2010. Implicit in this approach is the assumption that all the ice sheets reached their largest LGM configurations at the same time, which is not true. For example the Eurasian Ice Sheet likely reached its maximum ice extent at 21 ka (Hughes et al., 2016) while the North American Ice Sheets reached their maximum extent 22 ka (Stokes et al., 2016) or potentially even earlier (e.g. Tarasov et al., 2012). However, by assuming they all reached their largest LGM configuration at the same time, we are able to place constraints on the maximum contribution from the ice sheets. By limiting our analysis to only those studies published since 2010, we also assume that with time, and presumably more data and better models, estimates are improving. We also assumed that all the models were independent and all of similar validity. This assumption is clearly incorrect and future efforts should attempt to weight better-constrained ice-sheet models more strongly than weaker models. In the absence of published probability distribution functions for most of the studies, we also assumed a Gaussian distribution to the sea-level contributions. As a starting point, we make these assumptions, which results in a value of 113.9 \pm 8.5 m of ice-equivalent sea level held within the ice sheets (Table 1). Although not ideal, the error quoted assumes that the uncertainty of each ice sheet’s size is equal to the standard deviation of

estimates published since 2010. As an alternative approach, we applied a Monte Carlo simulation by randomly selecting LGM ice volumes from the published estimates and the potential ice volumes within the ranges set by their uncertainties. This approach yields the same mean of 113.9 m with a standard deviation of 9.4 m and a 95% confidence interval of 95.1 – 131.7 m (Fig. 3). Only 3.8% of the sampled totals lead to an ice-equivalent sea-level rise of 130 m or greater. Our calculations include the contributions from the major ice centers in North America, Eurasia, Antarctica, and Greenland, as well as a 5.5 ± 0.5 m contribution from smaller ice caps across other areas in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres (Denton and Hughes, 1981; Peltier et al., 2015). Assuming a post-LGM global mean sea-level change of 132 ± 2 m leaves a discrepancy of 18.1 ± 9.6 m (one standard deviation using the Monte Carlo simulation) and a nominal 95% confidence interval of -0.1 to 37.3 m of unaccounted-for ice needed to balance the sea-level budget during the LGM (Fig. 3).

3. Ocean Density Changes at the LGM

3.1 Density changes in the LGM ocean

One potential contribution to deglacial sea-level rise not considered in previous studies is ocean expansion due to density changes in the global oceans. The factors responsible for LGM ocean density changes include temperature, salinity, and loading (or compressibility) of the underlying oceans by the added meltwater since the LGM. The most direct effect is that of temperature. This effect originates from the increasing density with decreasing temperature of saltwater, which unlike freshwater does not experience a maximum density at 4°C. Several approaches have been taken to estimate past ocean temperatures. These make use of a range of

records, including the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record of marine sediments, microfossil-based transfer functions, planktonic Mg/Ca paleothermometers, alkenones, noble gas ratio records from ice cores, and pore-fluid measurements of Cl and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of seawater.

The three most widely accepted approaches to determining the temperature of the global oceans during the LGM include the work of Clark et al. (2009), MARGO Project Members (2009), and Adkins et al. (2002). Clark et al. (2009) subtracted an assumed sea-level $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal - based on 127.5 \pm 7.5 m of assumed sea-level change - from the global seawater $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal derived from Lisiecki and Raymo (2005). The residual $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal suggests that the LGM deep-ocean average global temperature was 3.25 \pm 0.55°C cooler than present. The second approach by the MARGO Project Members (2009) compiled site-specific proxy measurements of LGM temperature change across the globe. They estimated that the global average surface ocean temperature was 1.9 \pm 1.8°C cooler during the LGM. The third study by Adkins et al. (2002) used Cl and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measurements within seafloor porewater coupled with foraminiferal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ to calculate intermediate and deep water temperatures at four sites. The latter two studies found that temperature change between the LGM and present varied with respect to depth within the oceans as well as location within the major ocean basins (Adkins et al., 2002; MARGO Project Members, 2009). MARGO Project Members (2009) found that Atlantic surface temperatures changed by 2.4 \pm 2.2°C since the LGM and Pacific sea surface temperatures changed by 1.5 \pm 1.8°C since the LGM. The deeper oceans may have seen even larger changes: pore fluid-based estimates suggest the deep Atlantic was 4.0 \pm 0.5°C cooler during the LGM and the deep Southern Ocean was 1.7 \pm 0.9°C cooler (Adkins et al., 2002). A more recent estimate by Bereiter et al. (2018) uses noble gases trapped within ice cores to estimate an average ocean temperature of 2.57 \pm 0.24 °C cooler during the LGM.

Another potential influence on past ocean densities is salinity change due to dilution. Estimates of the decrease in ocean salinity since the LGM vary from 0.95 \pm 0.03 g/kg in the Deep Atlantic to 2.40 \pm 0.17 g/kg in the Southern Ocean (Adkins et al., 2002). The single intermediate-depth estimate from the Atlantic Ocean suggests a 1.16 \pm 0.10 g/kg change (Adkins et al., 2002). However, the effect of salinity change on seawater density must be calculated carefully. Munk (2003) points out that studies of ongoing sea-level rise due to recent ocean warming must take care not to count the salinity effect twice – once by adding the volume of meltwater assuming a density of freshwater and a second time by correcting for a salinity change to the rest of the ocean. The third important factor controlling ocean density changes is the compression of the deep ocean by the additional \sim 130 m of sea level. This effect compensates for the post-LGM expansion that arises due to ocean warming (Gebbie et al., in review).

3.2 Impacts of ocean density changes on global sea-level rise

In a companion study, Gebbie et al. (in review) use a 3-dimensional ocean inverse model to investigate the relative roles of temperature change, salinity change, and meltwater loading on LGM ocean density and post-LGM sea-level rise. They consider four different scenarios of LGM ocean conditions and determine the reduction in the amount of ice required to obtain a sea-level rise of 130 m after accounting for ocean expansion. Specifically, we define the ocean density effect of sea-level change in each scenario as $\eta - \eta_{\text{ice}}$, where η is the total sea-level rise of 130 m and η_{ice} is the sea-level rise due to the extra volume of water held in the ice sheets. All four scenarios of the LGM temperature and salinity fields are constrained by sea-surface temperature estimates from the MARGO Project Members (2009). In addition, one of them (G12) is also constrained by the porewater measurements of Atkins et al. (2002) and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ constraints (Gebbie, 2012). Two of these scenarios (G14, G14A) are constrained by over 241

224 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measurements as well as $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and Cd/Ca measurements (Gebbie, 2014). The fourth
 225 scenario contains additional $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ measurements but not Cd/Ca measurements (Gebbie
 226 et al., 2015). In the 4 scenarios, the global mean temperature profiles have different vertical
 227 structures, but they show an ocean warming of 1.0 to 3.5 degrees over the deglaciation consistent
 228 with the proxy measurements (Adkins et al., 2002). Gebbie et al. (in review) arrive at values of
 229 2.56, 2.36, 2.06, and 1.96 m for the ocean density effect (Gebbie et al., in review).

230 Gebbie et al. (in review) also show that these values of the ocean-density effect are well
 231 explained by a linear function of temperature and salinity change in the water that remained in
 232 the ocean throughout the glacial cycle (i.e. not including the ~130 m-thick layer converted from
 233 ice since the LGM). Here we re-formulate their analysis and relate it to the difference in global-
 234 mean temperature at all depths including that added due to ice-sheet melting thus a 0.4 °C offset
 235 with the regression analysis in their study. In this way, the results can be used in combination
 236 with any current or future estimates of global ocean temperature change since the LGM (e.g.
 237 Bereiter et al., 2018). We assume that the temperature change is well represented by $\bar{\theta}_m - \bar{\theta}_g$, the
 238 LGM ($\bar{\theta}_g$) -to-modern ($\bar{\theta}_m$) change in global-mean Conservative Temperature (units of °C). We
 239 assume that the deglacial freshening and pressure increased by the same amount in all four
 240 scenarios. Any addition of salt is detectable if the LGM global-mean salinity is different from
 241 that expected by dilution:

$$242 \quad S' = \bar{S}_m - \bar{S}_g + 1.16 \text{ g/kg}, \quad (1)$$

243 where S' is a salinity measure of the imbalance, \bar{S}_m is the salinity of the modern ocean, \bar{S}_g is the
 244 salinity of the LGM ocean, and 1.16 g/kg is the expected salinity change without any deglacial
 245 source.

Putting this together, we hypothesize that the ocean-density effect ($\eta - \eta_{\text{ice}}$), assuming salt is conserved (see Gebbie et al., in review, for the case where salt is not conserved), is explained by the following linear equation,

$$\eta - \eta_{\text{ice}} \approx a_1 (\bar{\theta}_m - \bar{\theta}_g) + a_2, \quad (2)$$

where a_1 and a_2 represent the effects of the addition of heat and mass, respectively. Given the four scenarios of Gebbie et al. (in review), we have four independent constraints on the two unknown coefficients. Using an overdetermined least squares method, we find that $a_1 = 0.52 \pm 0.01 \text{ m/}^\circ\text{C}$ and $a_2 = 1.00 \pm 0.04 \text{ m}$. This linear function of global-mean quantities reproduces the 3D ocean model analysis of Gebbie et al. (in review) with a root-mean-square error of less than 4 cm.

The coefficient, a_1 , gives the sensitivity of sea-level rise to the LGM-to-modern temperature change. The positive value of a_1 indicates that the more the deglacial ocean warms, the more it expands, and the less meltwater (greater values of $\eta - \eta_{\text{ice}}$) is needed to give the assumed 130 meters of sea-level rise. The coefficient, a_2 , is positive due to expansion caused by the seawater becoming less saline due to dilution by meltwater, but this effect is partially compensated by contraction due to the increase in pressure (Gebbie et al. in review).

We use these regression results to assess the uncertainty in the expansion of the ocean due to warming since the LGM. Assuming salt is conserved (e.g., $\bar{S}_m - \bar{S}_g = -1.16 \text{ g/kg}$), and that global-mean ocean temperature change ($\bar{\theta}_m - \bar{\theta}_g$) was $2.57 \pm 0.24^\circ\text{C}$, the regression predicts an ocean-density effect of 2.2 to 2.5 m (Figure 4). This estimate can be broken down into the individual temperature and mass contributions using the coefficients within Equation (2). The temperature contribution is simply a_1 multiplied by the warming, or 1.3 m. The second term, a_2

or 1.0 m, arises from three quantities caused by adding mass to the ocean. These include the deglacial increase in freshwater, the additional loading of the ocean due to sea-level rise, and an offset to account for the differences in densities between freshwater and seawater. Gebbie et al. (in review) discusses in detail how these three quantities factor together. As the Berieter et al. (2018) study is not the only estimate of global LGM ocean cooling, we also consider those of Clark et al. (2009)($3.25 \pm 0.55^{\circ}\text{C}$) and Elderfield et al. (2012)($2.5 \pm 1.0^{\circ}\text{C}$). Using these estimates gives a more conservative error range of 1.8-3.0 m (~95% confidence interval) or 2.4 ± 0.3 m (one standard deviation) for the total ocean-density effect. Note that changes in the salt budget would lead to greater uncertainties. Although not insignificant, this process alone is insufficient to balance the sea-level budget at the LGM.

4. Groundwater Changes

4.1 Background

Another factor often ignored in the total sea-level budget is the potential role of groundwater (Hay and Leslie, 1990). Currently, estimates for the contribution of groundwater depletion to 20th and 21st century sea-level rise vary between 0.075 and 0.8 mm/yr (Konikow, 2011; Wada et al., 2010), depending on the time frame used. However, few studies have addressed its potential to contribute to longer-term sea-level changes (Hay and Leslie, 1990). One recent assessment estimates the total groundwater stored in the continental crust to be 22.6 million km^3 (Gleeson et al., 2015). This volume of water is enough to raise sea levels by ~63 m assuming a global ocean area of $3.619 \times 10^8 \text{ km}^2$ (Eakins and Sharman, 2010). A significant amount of that groundwater is known to be circulating within the hydrologic cycle with an estimated 210.5-837.6 million km^3 of water recharged since the LGM, although roughly a third of the current groundwater reservoirs are relicts from the LGM (Befus et al., 2017). Estimating

the volume of groundwater at the LGM is a difficult task and direct measures of the groundwater table during the LGM are sparse. One approach to determining if groundwater could have played a significant role in balancing the sea-level budget at the LGM is to determine the maximum potential storage of the global groundwater basins.

4.2 Methods for Estimating Groundwater Contributions to Deglacial Sea-Level Rise

We determined the maximum capacity of groundwater storage to contribute to lower sea levels at the LGM by estimating how much aquifer storage is empty at the present. We determined the potential storage of the largest 37 aquifers across the globe (WHYMAP, 2008; Margat, 2008) and included eight other major aquifers in regions with low modern water tables (e.g. the western US and interior Asia). In addition, we consider storage of groundwater in regions where permeability may not allow the subsurface materials to act as an aquifer; a lower value of porosity is used in these portions of the earth surface. We used the present-day water table elevations of Fan et al. (2013) (GW_t) and assumed a porosity (n) of 0.2 for the sediments within the largest 37 basins and another 8 aquifers (Gleeson et al., 2015) and a porosity of 0.1 for areas outside the major aquifer basins in the following manner:

$$V_{gw} = \sum[(S_{el} - GW_t) (n) (A)] \quad (3)$$

where V_{gw} represents the volume of groundwater storage, S_{el} represents the surface elevation, and A represents the area of the groundwater aquifers or remaining land surface area. The land surface area was greater by approximately 6% during the LGM due to lower sea levels but that extra storage is now submerged and already saturated with either remnant freshwater from the LGM (Post et al., 2013) or seawater and not considered in our analysis. Although the porosity

(0.2) is likely an overestimate, it provides an upper limit for the potential contribution of groundwater storage to lower sea levels during the LGM.

4.3 Groundwater changes results and discussion

Higher volumes of groundwater stored in the large aquifers shown in Figure 5 during the LGM could account for approximately 0.6 m of sea-level rise equivalent with another 0.8 m of storage potential in the remaining land area (Fig. 5). This approach provides a maximum contribution. However, a number of factors could influence this estimate. First, these absolute storage volumes may underrepresent the total potential storage if the water table elevations of Fan et al. (2013) overestimate the true groundwater table, as suggested by Doll et al. (2016). Doll et al. (2016) point out the Fan et al. (2013) study was not dynamic nor did it take into account surface water interactions or capillary rise, both of which may lower groundwater levels resulting in an overestimation of the height of the true groundwater table and an underestimate of the total available storage.

Despite this potential underestimate, the 1.4 m estimate of global groundwater potential storage at the LGM most likely represents an upper bound and should be regarded as a maximum contribution for a number of other reasons. First, many areas appear to have experienced lower groundwater levels or recharge rates during the LGM not higher ones needed to sequester more groundwater at the LGM (Ferrera et al., 1999; Otto-Biesner et al., 2006; Befus et al., 2017). In addition, falling sea levels prior to the LGM exposed the shelf and likely drained now-submerged (and filled) aquifers during the LGM (Faure et al., 2002) resulting in lower groundwater storage. Similarly, lake levels across Asia and Africa reached their maximum size well after the LGM (Qin and Yu, 1998; Scholz et al., 2003), signifying a potential rising (not falling) of groundwater tables in these regions upon initial deglaciation. This said, groundwater

tables likely varied by region as lakes, and thus local groundwater levels, in some regions were larger during the LGM (e.g. Lake Bonneville; Benson et al., 2011). Not only would this have influenced groundwater levels, it also would have provided additional terrestrial storage above the land surface in the form of lakes. Lake basins themselves are relatively small, with the largest modern lake, Lake Baikal, only storing enough water to raise sea levels by about 5 cm (Galaizy, 1993; cited in Osipov and Khlystove, 2010). Of note, Lake Baikal was lower during the LGM (Osipov and Khlystove, 2010). Proglacial lakes also pose a potential large source of water but most reached their maximum extent during the deglaciation and after the LGM, largely from the wasting of the LGM ice sheets. For example, Lake Agassiz-Ojibway reached its maximum extent 8-12 ka (Teller et al., 2002) and the Baltic Ice Lake reached its maximum extent 10.3-13.5 ka (Brunnberg, 1995). In a study based on GIA deformation in front of the Laurentide Ice Sheet, Lambeck et al. (2017) found space for large proglacial lakes across north-central North America during the LGM but their volumes would contribute to a global sea-level rise equivalent of less than 1 m. A larger synthesis of lake-basin contributions to the LGM is warranted but from our work it appears that groundwater storage alone is far less than the 18.0 \pm 9.6 m of sea-level equivalent needed to balance the LGM sea-level budget.

5. Updated missing ice estimate and directions forward

Taking ocean expansion and the possibility of a groundwater contribution into account reduces the “missing ice” to 15.6 \pm 9.6 m (Figs. 2 and 3) with a nominal 95% confidence range of -2.6 to 34.9 m using the Monte Carlo simulation approach to the errors. Only 4.7% of simulations have enough ice to balance the sea level budget (i.e., missing ice \leq 0). These simulations include an LGM temperature change of 2.7 \pm 0.52 m (one standard deviation) and a

groundwater change of 0 ± 0.7 m, which allows for uncertainty in the sign of change for groundwater at the LGM and a $2\text{-}\delta$ range that includes the maximum possible groundwater storage increase. Increasing the uncertainty of the groundwater contribution to 0 ± 1.4 does not impact the mean estimate of missing ice but expands the 95% confidence interval to -2.8 to 35.1 m, with 4.9% of samples balancing the sea level budget. Our error analyses are relatively insensitive to uncertainty in the temperature and groundwater terms because these uncertainties are much smaller than the uncertainty associated with LGM ice volume. Even with a conservative approach that includes large uncertainties for ocean density and groundwater contributions, over 95% of Monte Carlo simulations require some contribution from missing ice.

As neither ocean expansion (Gebbie et al., in review) nor reduction in groundwater storage can account for more than a combined $\sim 2.5\text{--}3.8$ m of sea-level rise, the remaining 15.6 m of “missing ice” must be due to other processes or water reservoirs (Figs. 2 and 3). One possibility is that another ice sheet existed but has yet to be discovered. A potential location for such an ice sheet, mentioned by Clark and Tarasov (2014) and others earlier (Grosswald and Hughes, 2002), is eastern Siberia. However, despite attempts to find evidence for a significant LGM ice sheet in this region (Grosswald and Hughes, 2002), none has been found (Brigham-Grette et al., 2003; Gualtieri et al., 2003; Stauch and Lehmkuhl, 2010; Barr and Clark, 2011; 2012). A large ice sheet existed within the region at some point during the Pleistocene, but all evidence for this ice appears to predate the LGM (Niessen et al., 2013; O’Regan et al., 2017). Similarly, parts of the Arctic Ocean appear to have supported grounded ice, in the form of extensive ice shelves (Gasson et al., 2018). However, geomorphic evidence of past grounded ice and ice shelves again appear to predate the LGM and are thought to record extensive ice sheet and shelf growth during Marine Isotope Stage 6 (MIS6)(Jakobsson et al., 2016). Shallow

portions of the Southern Ocean remain largely unexplored (e.g. Kerguelen Plateau, South Georgia), but they likely only held a few cms of sea-level equivalent at the LGM (Hall, 2009; Hodgson et al., 2014; Barlow et al., 2017; White et al., 2018), with one estimate of <14 cm sea-level equivalent (Denton and Hughes, 1981). However, more work is needed on these former Southern Ocean and Arctic ice centers.

A second possibility is that we have underestimated the contribution of one or more of the known ice sheets. Historically, Antarctica has been the “dumping ground” of missing ice. The continental shelves of the Ross and Weddell Seas have large areas that could hold as much as 11.3 and 13.1 m of sea-level equivalent, respectively (Bassett et al., 2007). However, paleogeographic models based on limited relative sea-level data and mapping of grounded-ice features on the shelf and along nunatongs of the Antarctic Ice Sheet have failed to find evidence for an ice sheet large enough to balance the budget (Mackintosh et al., 2011; Whitehouse et al., 2012a; Golledge et al., 2013; Ivins et al., 2013; Briggs et al., 2014; Maris et al., 2014; Argus et al., 2014; The RAISED Consortium et al., 2014). Although, considering the limited amount of data and problems associated with dating materials in Antarctica, these models will likely be updated as more data become available. For example, the RSL data needed for GIA inversions are sparse across Antarctica with as few as 14 sites in a recent compilation (Whitehouse et al., 2012b) and nearly half of those confined to the Antarctic Peninsula leaving large expanses of the continent with little to no RSL constraints. This lack of data limits our ability to infer past ice-sheet change using a GIA modeling approach. In addition, parts of the Antarctic continent may be underlain by weaker rheology and/or be marked by Holocene ice-sheet fluctuations that most global GIA models do not consider (Ivins et al., 2000; 2011; Bradley et al., 2015; Wolstencroft et al., 2015; Simms et al., 2018; Kingslake et al., 2018). Furthermore, all studies that seek to date

former ice sheet extent within Antarctica are prone to uncertainties in radiocarbon reservoirs and inheritance associated with cosmogenic age dating.

The North American ice sheets also may have contained more ice at the LGM than current reconstructions, which contain an average of 76.0 m within our compilation. Lambeck et al. (2000) pointed out that although RSL data is readily available for the Holocene, the density of data is much lower during the early deglacial and as such leaves a large uncertainty in the volume of ice held at the LGM. Several models (Stokes et al., 2012; Gregoire et al., 2012; Lambeck et al., 2017; see review in Stokes, 2017) place up to 79 m of ice-equivalent sea-level rise within the ice sheets of North America and recent studies now suggest that the ice sheet reached the shelf edge along the Arctic Ocean (Stokes et. al, 2017). However, this refinement alone most likely does not balance the ice-sheet budget. By only sampling North American ice sheet size estimates of 79-80 m (plus standard deviations of 5-8 m), the average estimate of missing ice is reduced to 12.3 m, and 93.5% of Monte Carlo samples require missing ice.

A third possibility is that ice volumes derived using a GIA modeling approach are biased low due to use of the wrong rheological model. It has long been known that global ice volumes inferred using a GIA model are strongly dependent on the assumed viscosity of the lower mantle (Milne et al., 1999; 2002; Lambeck et al. 2014). Caron et al. (2017) take this a step further and examine the effects of using a Burgers rheology within a GIA model – where the mantle is characterized by two different viscosities – rather than the more standard Maxwell rheology. They find significant differences in the ice mass required to fit observations of relative sea-level when using a Burgers rheology compared with a Maxwell rheology, with the Burgers rheology solutions requiring more ice over North America and less ice over Antarctica compared with existing global ice sheet reconstructions. Unfortunately, it is not yet clear whether a Burgers,

Maxwell, or power-law rheology provides the most realistic representation of the solid Earth; uncertainties associated with the choice of rheological model should be factored into future GIA model-derived estimates of LGM ice volume, or when applying a GIA correction to sea-level observations. Global ice sheet reconstructions (e.g. Peltier et al., 2015; Lambeck et al., 2014) are typically derived in conjunction with a preferred Earth rheology. If these rheologies are incorrect, or it is found that spatial variations in Earth rheology should be incorporated into global models (Austermann et al., 2013; A et al., 2013; Simms et al., 2018), then existing ice-sheet models will need to be refined.

Another possible solution to the missing ice problem is that our estimates of the amount of LGM sea-level lowering are too large. Despite the hundreds to thousands of RSL sites and indicators typically used to constrain ice sheet models (e.g. 512 sites for Tarasov et al., 2012; ~1,000 indicators for Lambeck et al., 2014; 5720 indicators for Caron et al., 2017), estimates for the amount of sea-level lowering at the LGM are based on only three sites: Barbados, the Sunda Shelf, and the Bonaparte Gulf. Although all three datasets are based on careful work, each site has its complications. Barbados is a tectonically active island subject to vertical motion (Radtke and Schellmann, 2006), the indicative meaning of some of the sea-level indices from the Sunda Shelf remains uncertain (Hanebuth et al., 2009), and the cores within the Bonaparte Gulf may contain hiatuses (Shennan and Milne, 2003). More data constraining the LGM sea-level lowstand are needed from other locations far removed from the ice sheets. In addition, the uncertainty reported for the GIA-correction to far-field RSL sites is relatively low, reported in this study and by Spratt and Lisiecki (2016) as ± 2 m, due to the absence of formal error bars in the estimates and the relatively few number of estimates. Future work should focus on determining how

accurately these errors reflect the true uncertainty in estimates of the magnitude of the sea-level lowering including uncertainties in the GIA correction of far-field-based estimates.

6. Summary

A comparison between direct observations of LGM sea levels and the individual ice-sheet contributions to sea-level rise reveals a discrepancy of 18.1 ± 9.6 m of “missing ice”. The ocean-density effect, including accounting for compression due to an additional ~ 130 m of water, and the potential storage of groundwater accounts for less than 3.9 m of the discrepancy. Thus, although significant, these factors cannot balance the LGM hydrological budget alone leaving 15.6 ± 9.6 m of ice-equivalent sea-level rise unaccounted for when accounting for appropriate uncertainties. One explanation for this discrepancy is that another source of meltwater must have existed at the LGM, either as a missing ice sheet, lakes, or as an underestimate of one or more of the already identified former ice sheets. Refinements to existing GIA models may provide insight into this third point. Future work should focus on improving the ice budgets of the known ice sheets, including further exploration of other potential ice-masses, as well as better constraining LGM sea-level change, groundwater levels and ocean temperature and salinity at the LGM.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Adam Arce for his assistance in coding the groundwater table data. Chris Stokes, Matt Jackson, and James Kennett are thanked for helpful discussions. This study also benefited from discussions with the broader PALSEA community. Formal reviews by

Lev Tarasov and an anonymous reviewer as well as associate editor Glenn Milne improved this manuscript. Completion of this manuscript was made possible while ARS was on sabbatical at Durham University with support from the US-UK Fulbright Commission.

References

A, G., Wahr, J., Zhong, S., 2013. Computations of the viscoelastic response of a 3-D compressible Earth to surface loading: an application to glacial isostatic adjustment in Antarctica and Canada. *Geophysical Journal International* 192, 557-572.

Adkins, J.F., McIntyre, A., Schrag, D.P., 2002. The salinity, temperature, and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ content of the glacial deep ocean. *Science* 298, 1769-1773.

Anderson, J.B., Kirshner, A.E., Simms, A.R., 2013. Constraints on Antarctic Ice Sheet configuration during and following the last glacial maximum and its episodic contribution to sea-level rise, in: Hambrey, M.J., Barker, P.F., Barrett, P.J., Bowman, V., Davies, B., Smellie, J.L., Tranter, M. (Eds.), *Antarctic Palaeoenvironments and Earth-Surface Processes*. The Geological Society, London.

Anderson, J.B., Shipp, S., Lowe, A.L., Wellner, J.S., Mosola, A.B., 2002. The Antarctic Ice Sheet during the Last Glacial Maximum and its subsequent retreat history: a review. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 49-70.

Andrews, J.T., 1992. A case of missing water. *Nature* 358, 281.

490 Argus, D.F., Peltier, W.R., Drummond, R., Moore, A.W., 2014. The Antarctica component of
 491 postglacial rebound model ICE-6G_C (VM5a) based on GPS positioning, exposure age dating of
 492 ice thicknesses, and relative sea level histories. *Geophysical Journal International* 198, 537-563.

493 Austermann, J., Mitrovica, J.X., Latychev, K., Milne, G., 2013. Barbados-based estimate of ice
 494 volume at Last Glacial Maximum affected by subducted plate. *Nature Geoscience* 6, 553-557.

495 Barlow, N.L.M., Bentley, M.J., Spada, G., Evans, D.J.A., Hansom, J.D., Brader, M.D., White,
 496 D.A., Zander, A., Berg, S., 2016. Testing models of ice cap extent, South Georgia, sub-Antarctic.
 497 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 154, 157-168.

498 Barr, I.D., Clark, C.D., 2011. Glaciers and climate in Pacific far NE Russian during the Last
 499 Glacial Maximum. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 26, 227-237.

500 Barr, I.D., Clark, C.D., 2012. Late Quaternary glaciations in far NE Russia; combining moraines,
 501 topography, and chronology to assess regional and global glaciation synchrony. *Quaternary*
 502 *Science Reviews* 53, 72-87.

503 Bassett, S.E., Milne, G.A., Bentley, M.J., Huybrechts, A., 2007. Modeling Antarctic sea-level
 504 data to explore the possibility of a dominant Antarctic contribution to meltwater pulse IA.
 505 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 2113-2127.

506 Befus, K.M., Jasechko, S., Luijendijk, E., Gleeson, T., Cardenas, M.B., 2017. The rapid yet
 507 uneven turnover of Earth's groundwater. *Geophysical Research Letters* 44, 073322.

508 Benson, L.V., Lund, S.P., Smoot, J.P., Rhode, D.E., Spencer, R.J., Verosub, K.L., Louderback,
 509 L.A., Johnson, C.A., Rye, R.O., Negrini, R.M., 2011. The rise and fall of Lake Bonneville
 510 between 45 and 10.5 ka. *Quaternary International* 235, 57-69.

511 Bereiter, B., Shackleton, S., Baggenstos, D., Kawamura, K., Severinghaus, J.P., 2018. Mean
512 global ocean temperatures during the last glacial transition. *Nature* 553, 39-44.

513 Bradley, S.L., Hindmarsh, R.C.A., Whitehouse, P.L., Bentley, M.J., King, M.A., 2015. Low
514 post-glacial rebound rates in the Weddell Sea due to Late Holocene ice-sheet readvance. *Earth
515 and Planetary Science Letters* 413, 79-89.

516 Briggs, R.D., Pollard, D., Tarasov, L., 2014. A data-constrained large ensemble analysis of
517 Antarctic evolution since the Eemian. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 103, 91-115.

518 Brigham-Grette, J., Gualtieri, L.M., Glushkova, O.Y., Hamilton, T.D., Mostoller, D., Kotov, A.,
519 2003. Chlorine-36 and ¹⁴C chronology support a limited last glacial maximum across central
520 Chukotka, northeastern Siberia, and no Beringian ice sheet. *Quaternary Research* 59, 386-398.

521 Brunnberg, L., 1995. The Baltic Ice Lake. *Quaternary International* 28, 177-178.

522 Caron, L., Metivier, L., Greff-Lefftz, M., Fleitout, L., Rouby, H., 2017. Inverting glacial isostatic
523 adjustment signal using Bayesian framework and two linearly relaxing rheologies. *Geophysical
524 Journal International* 209, 1126-1147.

525 Clark, P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.X.,
526 Hostetler, S.W., McCabe, A.M., 2009. The Last Glacial Maximum. *Science* 325, 710-714.

527 Clark, P.U., Tarasov, L., 2014. Closing the sea level budget at the Last Glacial Maximum.
528 *Proceedings National Academy of Sciences* 111, 15861-15862.

529 Colhoun, E.A., Mabin, M.C., Adamson, D.A., Kirk, R.M., 1992. Antarctic ice volume and
530 contribution to sea-level fall at 20,000 yr BP from raised beaches. *Nature* 358, 316-319.

531 Denton, G.H., Hughes, T.J., 1981. *The Last Great Ice Sheets*. John Wiley and Sons.

532 Doll, P., Douville, H., Guntner, A., Schmied, H.M., Wada, Y., 2016. Modelling freshwater
 533 resources at the global scale: challenges and prospects. *Surveys of Geophysics* 2016, 195-221.
 534 Eakins, B.W., Sharman, G.F., 2010. Volumes of the World's Oceans from ETOPO1. NOAA
 535 National Geophysical Data Center, Boulder, CO.
 536 Elderfield, H., Ferretti, P., Greaves, M., Cowhurst, S., McCave, I.N., Hodell, D., Piotrowski,
 537 A.M., 2012. Evolution of ocean temperature and ice volume through the mid-Pleistocene climate
 538 transition. *Science* 337, 704-709.
 539 Fairbanks, R.G., 1989. A 17,000 year glacio-eustatic sea-level record: influence of glacial
 540 melting on the Younger Dryas Event and deep-ocean circulation. *Nature* 342, 637-642.
 541 Fan, Y., Li, H., Miguez-Macho, G., 2013. Global patterns of groundwater table depth. *Science*
 542 339.
 543 Faure, H., Walter, R.C., Grant, D.R., 2002. The coastal oasis: ice age springs on emerged
 544 continental shelves. *Global and Planetary Change* 33, 47-56.
 545 Ferrera, I., Harrison, S.P., Prentice, I.C., Ramstein, G., Guiot, J., Bartlein, P.J., Bonnefille, R.,
 546 Bush, M., Cramer, W., von Grafenstein, U., Holmgren, K., Hooghiemstra, H., Hope, G., Jolly,
 547 D., Lauritzen, S.-E., Ono, Y., Pinot, S., Stute, M., Yu, G., 1999. Tropical climates at the Last
 548 Glacial Maximum: A new synthesis of terrestrial palaeoclimate data. I. Vegetation, lake-levels
 549 and geochemistry. *Climate Dynamics* 15, 823-856.
 550 Fleming, K., Lambeck, K., 2004. Constraints on the Greenland Ice Sheet since the Last Glacial
 551 Maximum from sea-level observations and glacial rebound models. *Quaternary Science Reviews*
 552 23, 1053-1077.

553 Galaziy, G.I., 1993. Atlas of Lake Baikal. Roskartografia Press.

554 Gasson, E.G.W., DeCanto, R.M., Pollard, D., Clark, C.D., 2018. Numerical simulations of a
555 kilometre-thick Arctic ice shelf consistent with ice grounding observations. *Nature*
556 *Communications* 9, 1-9.

557 Gebbie, G., 2012. Tracer transport timescales and the observed Atlantic-Pacific lag in the timing
558 of the last Termination. *Paleoceanography* 27, PA3225.

559 Gebbie, G., 2014. How much did glacial North Atlantic water shoal? *Paleoceanography* 29, 190-
560 209.

561 Gebbie, G., Peterson, C.D., Lisiecki, L.E., Spero, H.J., 2015. Global-mean marine $\delta^{13}C$ and its
562 uncertainty in a glacial state estimate. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 125, 144-159.

563 Gebbie, G., Streletz, G.J., Spero, H.J., 2016. How well would modern-day oceanic property
564 distributions be known with paleoceanographic-like observations? *Paleoceanography* 31, 472-
565 490.

566 Gleeson, T., Befus, K.M., Jasechko, S., Luijendijk, E., Cardenas, M.B., 2015. The global volume
567 and distribution of modern groundwater. *Nature Geoscience* 9.

568 Golledge, N.R., Levy, R.H., McKay, R.M., Fogwill, C.J., White, D.A., Graham, A.G.C., Smith,
569 J.A., Hillenbrand, C.D., Licht, K.J., Denton, G.H., Ackert, R.P.J., Maas, S.M., Hall, B.L., 2013.
570 Glaciology and geological signature of the Last Glacial Maximum Antarctic ice sheet.
571 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 78, 225-247.

572 Golledge, N.R., Menviel, L., Carter, L., Fogwill, C.J., England, M.H., Cortese, G., Levy, R.H.,
 573 2014. Antarctic contribution to meltwater pulse 1A from reduced Southern Ocean overturning.
 574 Nature Communications 5, 5107, 1-10.

575 Gomez, N., Pollard, D., Mitrovica, J.X., 2013. A 3-D coupled ice sheet - sea level model applied
 576 to Antarctica through the last 40 ky. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 384, 88-99.

577 Gregoire, L.J., Payne, A.J., Valdes, P.J., 2012. Deglacial rapid sea level rises caused by ice-sheet
 578 saddle collapses. Nature 487, 219-222.

579 Grosswald, M.G., Hughes, T.J., 2002. The Russian component of an Arctic Ice Sheet during the
 580 Last Glacial Maximum. Quaternary Science Reviews 21, 121-146.

581 Gualtieri, L., Vartanyan, S., Brigham-Grette, J., Anderson, P.M., 2003. Pleistocene raised marine
 582 deposits on Wrangel Island, northeast Siberia and implications for the presence of an East
 583 Siberian ice sheet. Quaternary Research 59, 399-410.

584 Hall, B., 2009. Holocene glacial history of Antarctica and the sub-Antarctic islands. Quaternary
 585 Science Reviews 28, 2213-2230.

586 Hanebuth, T., Stattegger, K., Grootes, P.M., 2000. Rapid flooding of the Sunda Shelf: A late-
 587 glacial sea-level record. Science 288, 1033-1035.

588 Hanebuth, T.J.J., Stattegger, K., Bojanowski, A., 2009. Termination of the Last Glacial
 589 Maximum sea-level lowstand: The Sunda-Shelf data revisited. Global and Planetary Change 66,
 590 76-84.

591 Hay, W.W., Leslie, M.A., 1990. Could possible changes in global groundwater reservoir cause
 592 eustatic sea-level fluctuations?, *Sea-Level Change*. The National Academies, Washington D.C.,
 593 pp. 161-170.

594 Hodgson, D.A., Graham, A.G., Griggiths, H.J., Roberts, S.J., O Cofaigh, C., Bentley, M.J.,
 595 Evans, D.J., 2014. Glacial history of sub-Antarctic South Georgia based on the submarine
 596 geomorphology of its fjords. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 89, 129-147.

597 Hughes, A.L.C., Gyllencreutz, R., Lohne, O.S., Mangerud, J., Svendsen, J.I., 2016. The last
 598 Eurasian ice sheets - a chronological database and time-slice reconstruction, DATED-1. *Boreas*
 599 45, 1-45.

600 Huybrechts, P., 2002. Sea-level changes at the LGM from ice-dynamic reconstructions of the
 601 Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the glacial cycles. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21,
 602 203-231.

603 IOC, 2010. IAPSO: The International Thermodynamic Equation of Seawater - 2010: Calculation
 604 and Use of Thermodynamic Properties. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission.

605 Ivins, E.R., Raymond, C.A., James, T.S., 2000. The influence of 5,000 year-old and younger
 606 glacial mass variability on present-day crustal rebound in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Earth, Planets*
 607 *and Space* 52, 1023-1029.

608 Ivins, E.R., James, D.P., 2005. Antarctic glacial isostatic adjustment: a new assessment.
 609 *Antarctic Science* 17, 541-553.

610 Ivins, E.R., James, T.S., Wahr, J., Schrama, E.J.O., Landerer, F.W., Simon, K.M., 2013.
 611 Antarctic contribution to sea level rise observed by GRACE with improved GIA correction.
 612 Journal of Geophysical Research 118, 1-16.

613 Jakobsson, M., Andreassen, K., Bjarnadottir, L.R., Dove, D., Dowdeswell, J.A., England, J.H.,
 614 Funder, S., Hogan, K., Ingolfsson, O., Jennings, A., Larsen, N.K., Kirchner, N., Landvik, J.Y.,
 615 Mayer, L., Mikkelsen, N., Moller, P., Niessen, F., Nilsson, J., O'Regan, M., Polyak, L.,
 616 Norgaard-Pedersen, N., Stein, R., 2014. Arctic Ocean glacial history. Quaternary Science
 617 Reviews 92, 40-67.

618 Jakobsson, M., Nilsson, J., Anderson, L., Backman, J., Bjork, G., Cronin, T.M., Kirchner, N.,
 619 Koshurnikov, A., Mayer, L., Noormets, R., O'Regan, M., Stranne, C., Ananiev, R., Macho, N.B.,
 620 Cherniykh, D., Coxall, H., Eriksson, B., Floden, T., Gemery, L., Gustafsson, O., Jerram, K.,
 621 Johansson, C., Khortov, A., Mohammad, R., Semiletov, I., 2016. Evidence for an ice shelf
 622 voering the central Arctic Ocean during the penultimate glaciation. Nature Communications 7,
 623 10365.

624 Kageyama, M., Laine, A., Abe-Ouchi, A., Braconnot, P., Cortijo, E., Crucifix, M., De Vernal,
 625 A., Guiot, J., Hewitt, C.D., Kitoh, A., Kucera, M., Marti, O., Ohgaito, R., Otto-Bliesner, B.,
 626 Peltier, W.R., Rosell-Mele, A., Vettoretti, G., Weber, S.L., Yu, Y., Members, M.P., 2006. Last
 627 Glacial Maximum temperature over the North Atlantic, Europe and western Siberia: a
 628 comparison between PMIP models, MARGO sea-surface temperatures and pollen-based
 629 reconstructions. Quaternary Science Reviews 25.

630 Kingslake, J., Scherer, R.P., Albrecht, T., Coenen, J., Powell, R.D., Reese, R., Stansell, N.D.,
 631 Tulaczyk, S., Wearing, M.G., Whitehouse, P.L., 2018. Extensive retreat and re-advance of the
 632 West Antarctic Ice Sheet during the Holocene. *Nature* 558, 430-434.

633 Konikow, L.F., 2011. Contribution of global groundwater depletion since 1900. *Geophysical*
 634 *Research Letters* 38, L17401.

635 Kurahashi-Nakamura, T., Paul, A., Losch, M., 2017. Dynamical reconstruction of the global
 636 ocean state during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Paleoceanography* 32, 326-350.

637 Lambeck, K., Chappell, J., 2001. Sea level change through the last glacial cycle. *Science* 292,
 638 679-686.

639 Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., 2005. Sea-level change in the Mediterranean Sea since the LGM:
 640 model predictions for tectonically stable areas. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 24, 1969-1988.

641 Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., Flemming, N.C., Vita-Finzi, C., Alsharekh, A.M., Bailey, G.N., 2011.
 642 Sea level and shoreline reconstructions for the Red Sea: isostatic and tectonic considerations and
 643 implications for hominin migration out of Africa. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 3542-3574.

644 Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., Funder, S., Kjaer, K., Larsen, E., Moller, P., 2006. Constraints on the
 645 Late Saalian to early Middle Weichselian ice sheet of Eurasia from field data and rebound
 646 modelling. *Boreas* 35, 539-575.

647 Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., Zhao, S., 2017. The North American Late Wisconsin ice sheet and
 648 mantle viscosity from glacial rebound analyses. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 158, 172-210.

649 Lambeck, K., Rouby, H., Purcell, A., Sun, Y., Sambridge, M., 2014. Sea level and global ice
 650 volumes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the Holocene. *Proceedings National Academy of*
 651 *Sciences* 111, 15,296-215,303.

652 Lambeck, K., Yokoyama, Y., Johnston, P., Purcell, A., 2000. Global ice volumes at the Last
 653 Glacial Maximum and early Lateglacial. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 181, 513-527.

654 Lecavalier, B.S., Milne, G.A., Simpson, M.J.R., Wake, L., Huybrechts, P., Tarasov, L., Kjeldsen,
 655 K.K., Funder, S.V., Long, A.J., Woodroffe, S.A., Dyke, A.S., Larsen, N.K., 2014. A model of
 656 Greenland ice sheet deglaciation constrained by observations of relative sea level and ice extent.
 657 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 102, 54-84.

658 Love, R., Milne, G.A., Tarasov, L., Engelhart, S.E., Hijma, M.P., Latychev, K., Horton, B.P.,
 659 Tornqvist, T.E., 2016. The contribution of glacial isostatic adjustment to projections of sea-level
 660 change along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts of North America. *Earth's Future* 4, 440-464.

661 Mackintosh, A., Golledge, N., Domack, E.W., Dunbar, R., Leventer, A., White, D., Pollard, D.,
 662 DeConto, R., Fink, D., Zwart, D., Gore, D., Lavoie, C., 2011. Retreat of the East Antarctic ice
 663 sheet during the last glacial maximum. *Nature Geoscience* 4, 195-202.

664 Margat, J., 2008. Great aquifer systems of the world, in: Chery, L., de Marsily, G. (Eds.),
 665 *Aquifer Systems Management: Darcy's Legacy in a World of Impending Water Shortage*. Taylor
 666 & Francis, New York, pp. 105-116.

667 Maris, M.N.A., De Boer, B., Ligtenberg, S.R.M., Crucifix, M., Van de Berg, W.J., Oerlemans,
 668 J., 2014. Modeling the evolution of the Antarctic ice sheet since the last interglacial. *The*
 669 *Cryosphere* 8, 1347-1360.

670 Members, M.P., 2009. Constraints on the magnitude and patterns of ocean cooling at the Last
 671 Glacial Maximum. *Nature Geoscience* 2, 127-132.

672 Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X., Davis, J.L., 1999. Near-field hydro-isostasy; implications of a
 673 revised sea-level equation. *Geophysical Journal International* 139, 464-482.

674 Milne, G.A., Mitrovica, J.X., Schrag, D.P., 2002. Estimating past continental ice volume from
 675 sea-level data. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 361-376.

676 Mitrovica, J.X., 2003. Recent controversies in predicting post-glacial sea-level change.
 677 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22, 127-133.

678 Mix, A.C., 1987. Chapter 6: The oxygen-isotope record of glaciation, in: Ruddiman, W.F.,
 679 Wright, H.E.J. (Eds.), *North America and adjacent oceans during the last deglaciation*.
 680 Geological Society of America, Boulder, CO, pp. 111-135.

681 Munk, W., 2003. Ocean freshening, sea level rising. *Science* 300, 2041-2043.

682 Nakada, M., Kimura, R., Okuno, J., Moriwaki, K., Miura, H., Maemoku, H., 2000. Late
 683 Pleistocene and Holocene melting history of the Antarctic ice sheet derived from sea-level
 684 variations. *Marine Geology* 167, 85-103.

685 Nakada, M., Lambeck, K., 1988. The melting history of the late Pleistocene Antarctic ice sheet.
 686 *Nature* 333, 36-40.

687 Nakada, M., Okuno, J., Yokoyama, Y., 2016. Total meltwater volumes since the Last Glacial
 688 Maximum and viscosity structure of Earth's mantle inferred from relative sea level changes at
 689 Barbados and Bonaparte Gulf and GIA-induced J2. *Geophysical Journal International* 204, 1237-
 690 1253.

691 Niessen, F., Hong, J.K., Hegewald, A., Matthiessen, J., Stein, R., Kim, H., Kim, S., Jensen, L.,
692 Jokat, W., Nam, S.-I., Kang, S.-H., 2013. Repeated Pleistocene glaciation of the East Siberian
693 continental margin. *Nature Geoscience* 6, 842-846.

694 O'Regan, M., Backman, J., Barrientos, N., Cronin, T.M., Gemery, L., Kirchner, N., Mayer, L.A.,
695 Nilsson, J., Noormets, R., Pearce, C., Semiletov, I., Stranne, C., Jakobsson, M., 2017. The De
696 Long Trough: a newly discovered glacial trough on the East Siberian continental margin.
697 *Climate of the Past* 13, 1269-1284.

698 Osipov, E.Y., Khlystov, O.M., 2010. Glaciers and meltwater flux to Lake Baikal during the Last
699 Glacial Maximum. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 294, 4-15.

700 Otto-Biesner, B.L., Brady, E.C., Clauzet, G., Tomas, R., Levis, S., Kothavala, Z., 2006. Last
701 Glacial Maximum and Holocene climate in CCSM3. *Journal of Climate* 19, 2526-2544.

702 Peltier, W.R., 1994. Ice Age Paleotopography. *Science* 265, 195-201.

703 Peltier, W.R., 2004. Global glacial isostasy and the surface of the Ice-Age Earth: the ICE-5G
704 (VM2) model and GRACE. *Annual Reviews in Earth and Planetary Science* 32, 111-149.

705 Peltier, W.R., Argus, D.F., Drummond, R., 2015. Space geodesy constrains ice age terminal
706 deglaciation: The global ICE-6G_C (VM5a) model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*
707 *Earth* 120, 450-487.

708 Peltier, W.R., Fairbanks, R.G., 2006. Global glacial ice volume and Last Glacial Maximum
709 duration from an extended Barbados sea level record. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25, 3322-
710 3337.

711 Post, V.E.A., Groen, J., Kooi, H., Person, M., Ge, S., Edmunds, W.M., 2013. Offshore fresh
 712 groundwater reserves as a global phenomenon. *Nature* 504, 71-78.

713 Qin, B., Yu, G., 1998. Implications of lake level variations at 6 ka and 18 ka in mainland Asia.
 714 *Global and Planetary Change* 18, 59-72.

715 Radtke, U., Schellmann, G., 2006. Uplift history along the Clermont Nost Traverse on the West
 716 Coast of Barbados during the last 500,000 years - implications for paleo-sea level
 717 reconstructions. *Journal of Coastal Research* 22, 350-360.

718 Root, B.C., Tarasov, L., Van der Wal, W., 2015. GRACE gravity observations constrain
 719 Weichselian ice thickness in the Barents Sea. *Geophysical Research Letters* 42, 3313-3320.

720 Scholz, C.A., King, J.W., Ellis, G.S., Swart, P.K., Stager, J.C., Colman, S.M., 2003.
 721 Paleolimnology of Lake Tanganika, East Africa, over the past 100 k yr. *Journal of*
 722 *Paleolimnology* 30, 139-2003.

723 Shennan, I., Milne, G., 2003. Sea-level observations around the Last Glacial Maximum from the
 724 Bonaparte Gulf, NW Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 22, 1543-1547.

725 Shepherd, A., Ivins, E.R., A, G., Barletta, V., Bentley, M.J., Bettadpur, S., Briggs, K.H.,
 726 Bromwich, D.H., Forsberg, C.F., Galin, N., Horwath, M., Jacobs, S., Joughin, I., King, M.A.,
 727 Lenaerts, J.T.M., Li, J., Ligtenberg, S.R.M., Luckman, A., Luthcke, S.B., McMillan, M.,
 728 Meister, R., Milne, G., Mouginot, J., Muir, A., Nicolas, J.P., Paden, J., Payne, A.J., Pritchard, H.,
 729 Rignot, E., Rott, H., Sorenson, L.S., Scambos, T.A., Scheuchl, B., Schrama, E.J.O., Smith, B.,
 730 Sundal, A.V., Van Angelen, J.H., Van de Berg, W.J., Van den Broeke, M.R., Vaughan, D.G.,
 731 Velicogna, I., Wahr, J., Whitehouse, P.L., Wingham, D.J., Yi, D., Young, D., Zwally, H.J.,
 732 2012a. A reconciled estimate of ice-mass balance. *Science* 338, 1182-1189.

733 Shepherd, A., Ivins, E.R., A, G., Barletta, V.R., Bentley, M.J., Bettadpur, S., Briggs, K.H.,
 734 Bromwich, D.H., Forsberg, R., Galin, N., Horwath, M., Jacobs, S., Joughin, I., King, M.A.,
 735 Lenaerts, J.T.M., Li, J., Ligtenberg, S.R.M., Luckman, A., Luthcke, S.B., McMillan, M.,
 736 Meister, R., Milne, G., Mouginot, J., Muir, A., Nicolas, J.P., Paden, J., Scambos, T.A., Scheuchl,
 737 B., Schrama, E.J.O., Smith, B., Sundal, A.V., van Angelen, J.H., van de Berg, W.J., Van den
 738 Broeke, M.R., Vaughan, D.G., Velicogna, I., Wahr, J., Whitehouse, P.L., Wingham, D.J., Yi, D.,
 739 Young, D., Zwally, H.J., 2012b. A reconciled estimate of ice-mass balance. *Science* 338, 1182-
 740 1189.

741 Simms, A.R., Whitehouse, P.L., Simkins, L.M., Nield, G., DeWitt, R., Bentley, M.J., 2018. Late
 742 Holocene relative sea levels near Palmer Station, northern Antarctic Peninsula, strongly
 743 controlled by late Holocene ice-mass changes. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 199, 49-59.

744 Simon, K.M., James, T.S., Henton, J.A., Dyke, A.S., 2016. A glacial isostatic adjustment model
 745 for the central and northern Laurentide Ice Sheet based on relative sea level and GPS
 746 measurements. *Geophysical Journal International* 205, 1618-1636.

747 Simpson, M.J.R., Milne, G.A., Huybrechts, P., Long, A.J., 2009. Calibrating a glaciological
 748 model of the Greenland ice sheet from the Last Glacial Maximum to present-day using field
 749 observations of relative sea level and ice extent. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 1631-1657.

750 Spratt, R.M., Lisiecki, L.E., 2016. A Late Pleistocene sea level stack. *Climate of the Past* 12,
 751 1079-1092.

752 Stauch, G., Lehmkuhl, F., 2010. Quaternary glaciations in the Verkhoyansk Mountains,
 753 Northeast Siberia. *Quaternary Research* 74, 145-155.

754 Stokes, C.R., 2017. Deglaciation of the Laurentide Ice Sheet from the Last Glacial Maximum.
755 Cuadernos de Investigacion Geografica (Geographical Research Letters) 43, 377-428.

756 Stokes, C.R., Tarasov, L., Dyke, A., 2012. Dynamics of the North American Ice Sheet Complex
757 during its inception and build-up to the Last Glacial Maximum. Quaternary Science Reviews 50,
758 86-104.

759 Stokes, C.R., Marigold, M., Clark, C.D., Tarasov, L., 2017. Ice stream activity scaled to ice sheet
760 volume during Laurentide Ice Sheet deglaciation. Nature 530, 322-326.

761 Tarasov, L., Dyke, A.S., Neal, R.M., Peltier, W.R., 2012. A data-calibrated distribution of
762 deglacial chronologies for the North American ice complex from glaciological modeling. Earth
763 and Planetary Science Letters 315-316, 30-40.

764 Tarasov, L., Peltier, W.R., 2002. Greenland glacial history and local geodynamic consequences.
765 Geophysical Journal International 150, 198-229.

766 Teller, J.T., Leverington, D.W., Mann, J.D., 2002. Freshwater outbursts to the oceans from
767 glacial Lake Agassiz and their role in climate change during the last deglaciation. Quaternary
768 Science Reviews 21, 879-887.

769 The RAISED Consortium, Bentley, M.J., O Cofaigh, C., Anderson, J.B., Conway, H., Davies,
770 B., Graham, A.G.C., Hillenbrand, C.D., Hodgson, D.A., Jamieson, S.R., Larter, R.D.,
771 Mackintosh, A., Smith, J.A., Verleyen, E., Ackert, R.P., Bart, P.J., Berg, S., Brunstein, D.,
772 Canals, M., Colhoun, E.A., Crosta, X., Dickens, W.A., Domack, E.W., Dowdeswell, J.A.,
773 Dunbar, R., Ehrmann, W., Evans, J., Favier, V., Fink, D., Fogwill, C.J., Glasser, N.F., Gohl, K.,
774 Golledge, N.R., Goodwin, I., Gore, D.B., Greenwood, S.L., Hall, B.L., Hall, K., Hedding, D.W.,
775 Hein, A.S., Hocking, E.P., Jakobsson, M., Johnson, J.S., Jomelli, V., Jones, R.S., Klages, J.P.,

776 Kirstoffersen, Y., Kuhn, G., Leventer, A., Licht, K., Lilly, K., Lindow, J., Livingstone, S.J.,
 777 Masse, G., McGlone, M.S., McKay, R.M., Melles, M., Miura, H., Mulvaney, R., Nel, W.,
 778 Nitsche, F.O., O'Brien, P.E., Post, A.L., Roberts, S.J., Saunders, K.M., Selkirk, P.M., Simms,
 779 A.R., Spiegel, C., Stollendorf, T.D., Sugden, D.E., van der Putten, N., van Ommen, T., Verfaillie,
 780 D., Vyverman, W., Wagner, B., White, D.A., Witus, A.E., Zwartz, D., 2014. A community-
 781 based geological reconstruction of Antarctic Ice Sheet deglaciation since the Last Glacial
 782 Maximum. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 100, 1-9.

783 Wada, Y., van Beek, L.P.H., van Kempen, C.M., Reckman, J.W.T.M., Vasak, S., Bierkens,
 784 M.F.P., 2010. Global depletion of groundwater resources. *Geophysical Research Letters* 37,
 785 L20402.

786 White, D.A., Bennike, O., Melles, M., Berg, S., Binnie, S.A., 2018. Was South Georgia covered
 787 by an ice cap during the Last Glacial Maximum?, in: Siebert, M.J., Jamieson, S.S.R., White,
 788 D.A. (Eds.), *Exploration of Subsurface Antarctica: Uncovering Past Changes and Modern*
 789 *Processes*. Geological Society, London, London, pp. 49-59.

790 Whitehouse, P.L., Bentley, M.J., Le Brocq, A.M., 2012a. A deglacial model for Antarctica:
 791 geological constraints and glaciological modelling as a basis for a new model of Antarctic glacial
 792 isostatic adjustment. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 32, 1-24.

793 Whitehouse, P.L., Bentley, M.J., Milne, G.A., King, M.A., Thomas, I.D., 2012b. A new glacial
 794 isostatic adjustment model for Antarctica: calibrated and tested using observations of relative
 795 sea-level change and present-day uplift rates. *Geophysical Journal International* 190, 1464-1482.

796 WHYMAP, 2008. *Groundwater Resources of the World*. BGR/UNESCO, Paris.

Wolstencroft, M., King, M.A., Whitehouse, P.L., Bentley, M.J., Nield, G.A., King, E.C.,
McMillan, M., Shepherd, A., Barletta, V., Bordoni, A., Riva, R.E.M., Didova, O., Gunter, B.C.,
2015. Uplift rates from a new high-density GPS network in Palmer Land indicate significant late
Holocene ice loss in the southwestern Weddell Sea. *Geophysical Journal International* 203, 737-
754.

Yokoyama, Y., Lambeck, K., De Dekker, P., Johnston, P., Fifield, L.K., 2000. Timing of the
Last Glacial Maximum from observed sea-level minima. *Nature* 406, 713-716.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Maps of the polar regions showing the distribution of ice during the LGM and their
approximate contributions to ice-equivalent sea-level rise since the LGM based on post-2010
studies (Table 1). The ice extents are based on those summarized by Ehlers et al. (2011).

Figure 2. Bar graph illustrating the disparity between the estimated amount of ice held within
the ice sheets and the total ice-equivalent sea-level rise since the LGM. See Table 1 for a list of
estimates for the ice-equivalent sea-level rise stored in each of the major ice sheets.

Figure 3. (Top) White histogram shows estimates for total observed ice based on randomly
sampling an estimate of each ice sheet's size from among the published values (Table 1). The
dark gray histogram is expected ice based on GIA-corrected sea-level estimates (132 ± 2). The
Blue histogram is the sea-level estimate after correcting for the ocean-density effect (Figure 4).



(Bottom) Histogram illustrating the "missing ice" defined as the expected ice (blue histogram – top panel) minus the observed ice (white histogram-top panel) minus the groundwater/lake change (not shown). The missing ice estimate has a mean of 15.6 m and a 95% confidence range of -2.6 to 34.9 m. 4.7% of the samples are zero or less (i.e., requiring no missing ice).


Figure 4. Ocean-density effect ($\eta - \eta_{ice}$) as a function of temperature differential between the modern and LGM ocean ($\bar{\theta}_m - \bar{\theta}_g$). G12, G14, G14A, and GPLS2 refer to the LGM ocean models of Gebbie (2012), Gebbie (2014), a modified version of Gebbie (2014), and Gebbie et al. (2015). Also shown in the grey box is the most recent estimate of global ocean temperature change since the LGM (Bereiter et al., 2018).

Figure 5. Potential groundwater contributions to ice-equivalent sea level for the 37 largest aquifers as well as 8 other aquifers in arid to semiarid regions of the globe.



Table 1. Estimates of the meltwater contributions from individual ice sheets listed in order of publication.


Table 2. Selected conversions from ice volume to ice-equivalent sea-level rise from previous studies.






- Potential Groundwater
- Ocean-Density Component
- Other Ice
- Greenland







Tabl

SL contribution (m)	Error (m)
<i>North America</i>	
74	4
79	2
80 (70)	8'
79"	5*
66**	5*
79	5@
<i>Eurasia</i>	
17	0.85*
21	0.85*
17.2	0.7
14.4	1
24	1
18.1 [#]	0.85
<i>Antarctica</i>	
20	1.45*
17.5	3.5
17.3	1.45*
10.12	1.45*
16.8	1.45*
27.85	1
10.2	1.45*
9	1.45*
9.2	0.5
8.3	1.3
7.5	1.45*
10.5	1.45*
10	4.35
10.7	1.5
13.6	1.45*
<i>Greenland</i>	
2.7	0.8
3.1	0.5*
2.6	0.5*
4.1	0.5*
3	0.5*
4.7	0.5*
4.6	0.7

	Small ice cap
5.5	0.5

'Enlarged to encompass another poss

"Assumes 7% greater ice volume tha

*No error provided. Assumed an err
by other es

**assumed 8 m less than Ice-5G

@ Based on the spread of other soluti

#based on a conversion of 2.519 m/1

\$Largest extent model - value from l\

&based on the reduced slidding coepl

%Based on the square root of the sur

e 1. Meltwater contribution estimates from individual ice sheets

Reference	Average (m)	σ (m)
<i>a</i>		
<i>Peltier, 2004</i>	<i>(post-2000)</i>	
<i>Lambeck and Purcell, 2005</i>	75.4	5.7
<i>Tarasov et al., 2012</i>		
<i>Gregoire et al., 2012</i>		
<i>Simon et al., 2016</i>	<i>(post-2010)</i>	
<i>Lambeck et al, 2017</i>	76.0	6.7
<i>Peltier, 2004</i>	<i>(post-2000)</i>	
<i>Lambeck et al., 2006</i>	18.7	3.8
<i>Peltier et al., 2015</i>		
<i>Root et al., 2015</i>		
<i>Hughes et al., 2016</i>	<i>(post-2010)</i>	
<i>Patton et al., 2016</i>	18.4	4.9
<i>Nakada et al., 2000</i>		
<i>Huybrechts, 2002</i>		
<i>Peltier, 2004</i>		
<i>Ivins et al., 2005</i>		
<i>Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006</i>	<i>(post-2000)</i>	
<i>Bassett et al., 2007</i>	13.2	5.6
<i>Mackintosh et al., 2011</i> ^{\$}		
<i>Whitehouse et al., 2012</i>		
<i>Gomez et al.2013</i> ^{&}		
<i>Golledge et al, 2013</i>		
<i>Ivins et al., 2013</i>		
<i>Golledge et al., 2014</i>		
<i>Briggs et al, 2014</i>		
<i>Maris et al., 2014</i>	<i>(post-2010)</i>	
<i>Argus et al., 2014</i>	9.9	1.7
<i>Huybrechts, 2002</i>		
<i>Fleming and Lambeck, 2004</i>		
<i>Peltier, 2004; Tarasov and Peltier, 2002</i>	<i>(post-2000)</i>	
<i>Simpson et al., 2009</i>	3.5	0.9
<i>Peltier et al., 2015</i>		
<i>Lecavalier et al., 2014</i>	<i>(post-2010)</i>	
<i>Khan et al., 2016</i>	4.1	1.0

s

<i>Denton and Hughes, 1981; Peltier et al., 2015</i>	5.5	0.5
Post 2000 Total	116.4	8.9%
Post 2010 Total	113.9	8.6%

ible solution of 73.9+/-4 discussed in the study
n Ice-5G
or equivalent to the average of the errors provided
timates of the same ice sheet

ions suggested in the paper
.0⁶ km³ ice (Briggs et al., 2014)
ins et al. (2013)
ficient ice-sheet model
n of the squares (see text)

Table 2. Impact of Ice to Sea Level Cor

Source	Conversions (m SL/km ³ ice)
Denton and Hughes (1981)	2.485
Ivins et al. (2005)	2.580
Tarasov et al. (2012)*	2.519
Golledge et al. (2013)	2.478
Lambeck et al. (2014)	2.577
Maris et al. (2014)	2.488
Hughes et al. (2016)	2.466

*state 25.19 but assumed 2.519 (Briggs et al., 2014)

**Assuming 52×10^6 km³ of ice (Lambeck et al., 2014)

versions
LGM ice-equivalent sea-level rise**
129.2
134.2
131.0
128.8
134.0
129.4
128.2